

## 9.4b Combined Parenteral and Enteral Glutamine Supplementation

April 2013

### NEW SECTION in 2013

**Recommendation:** *Based on one level 1 study, we strongly recommend that high dose combined parenteral and enteral glutamine supplementation NOT be used in critically ill patients with shock and multi-organ failure.*

**Discussion:** The committee agreed that due to the unique methodology of the REDOXS trial (Heyland, 2013), in which combined parenteral and enteral glutamine supplementation was provided, this study not be included with other studies of parenteral glutamine supplementation in section 9.4a. The committee noted the large multicentre nature of this trial in which there was an increase in mortality across all time points with the use of high dose glutamine supplementation in severely ill patients with at least two organ failures.

## Semi Quantitative Scoring

Values	Definition	2013 Score (0,1,2,3)
Effect size	Magnitude of the absolute risk reduction attributable to the intervention listed--a higher score indicates a larger effect size	1
Confidence interval	95% confidence interval around the point estimate of the absolute risk reduction, or the pooled estimate (if more than one trial)--a higher score indicates a smaller confidence interval	3
Validity	Refers to internal validity of the study (or studies) as measured by the presence of concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication, an intention to treat analysis, and an explicit definition of outcomes--a higher score indicates presence of more of these features in the trials appraised	3
Homogeneity or Reproducibility	Similar direction of findings among trials--a higher score indicates greater similarity of direction of findings among trials	n/a
Adequacy of control group	Extent to which the control group presented standard of care (large dissimilarities=1, minor dissimilarities=2, usual care=3)	3
Biological Plausibility	Consistent with understanding of mechanistic and previous clinical work (large inconsistencies=1, minimal consistencies=2, very consistent=3)	3
Generalizability	Likelihood of trial findings being replicated in other settings (low likelihood i.e. single centre=1, moderate likelihood i.e. multicentre with limited patient population or practice setting=2, high likelihood i.e. multicentre, heterogenous patients, diverse practice settings=3)	3
Low cost	Estimated cost of implementing the intervention listed--a higher score indicates a lower cost to implement the intervention in an average ICU	2
Feasible	Ease of implementing the intervention listed--a higher score indicates greater ease of implementing the intervention in an average ICU	0
Safety	Estimated probability of avoiding any significant harm that may be associated with the intervention listed--a higher score indicates a lower probability of harm	0

## 9.4b Combined Parenteral and Enteral Glutamine Supplementation

April 2013

**Question:** Compared to placebo, does combined enteral and parenteral glutamine-supplementation result in improved clinical outcomes in critically ill patients?

**Summary of evidence:** There was one level 1 study on glutamine supplementation administered via both PN and EN that was included.

**Mortality:** Based on the single study, glutamine supplementation administered via both PN and EN was associated with a significant increase in hospital (RR 1.20, 95% CI 1.02, 1.40,  $p=0.02$ ), 28-day (RR 1.19, 95% CI 1.00, 1.42,  $p=0.05$ ), 3-month (RR 1.20, 95% CI 1.04, 1.38,  $p=0.01$ ), and 6-month mortality (RR 1.19, 95% CI 1.03, 1.36,  $p=0.02$ ); and was associated with a trend towards a increase in 14-day mortality (RR 1.21, 95% CI 0.99, 1.48,  $p=0.07$ ).

**Infections:** Based on the single study, glutamine supplementation administered via both PN and EN had no effect on overall infectious complications (RR 1.10, 95% CI 0.92, 1.31,  $p=0.32$ ) or ventilator associated pneumonia (RR 1.08, 95% CI 0.82, 1.43,  $p=0.59$ ).

**Length of Stay:** Based on the single study, glutamine supplementation administered via both PN and EN was associated with a trend towards an increase in ICU length of stay (WMD 1.80, 95% CI -0.76, 4.36,  $p=0.17$ ), but had no effect on hospital length of stay (WMD 1.30, 95% CI -4.05, 6.65,  $p=0.63$ ).

**Duration of ventilation:** Based on the single study, glutamine supplementation administered via both PN and EN was associated with a trend towards an increase in duration of ventilation (WMD 1.80, 95% CI -0.50, 4.10,  $p=0.13$ ).

*NOTE: The data on RRs, CI, and p values presented here have been generated from RevMan and may differ from the article.*

### Conclusions:

- 1) Combined parenteral and enteral glutamine supplementation is associated with a significant increase in hospital, 28-day, 3-month, and 6-month mortality, as well as a trend towards a increase in 14-day mortality.
- 2) Combined parenteral and enteral glutamine supplementation has no effect on overall infectious complications or ventilator associated pneumonia.
- 3) Combined parenteral and enteral glutamine supplementation is associated with a trend towards a an increase in ICU length of stay and duration of mechanical ventilation but has no effect on hospital length of stay.

*Level 1 study: if all of the following are fulfilled: concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication and an intention to treat analysis.*  
*Level 2 study: If any one of the above characteristics are unfulfilled.*

**Table 1. Randomized studies evaluating glutamine (PN + EN) in critically ill patients**

Study	Population	Methods (score)	Intervention	Mortality # (%)*		Infections # (%)†	
				GLN PN+EN	Placebo	GLN PN+EN	Placebo
1) Heyland 2013	Multicentre mixed ICUs N=1218	C.Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: double (12)	GLN supplementation (0.35 g/kg/day) parenterally vs placebo; additional GLN supplementation (30 g/day) enterally vs placebo	<b>Hospital</b> 227/611 (37) RR 1.20, 95% CI 1.02, 1.40, p=0.02 <b>14-day</b> 157/611 (26) RR 1.21, 95% CI 0.99, 1.48, p=0.07 <b>28-day</b> 198/611 (32) RR 1.19, 95% CI 1.00, 1.42, p=0.05 <b>3-month</b> 252/611 (39) RR 1.20, 95% CI 1.04, 1.38, p=0.01 <b>6-month</b> 264/611 (44) RR 1.19, 95% CI 1.03, 1.36, p=0.02	<b>Hospital</b> 188/607 (31)  <b>14-day</b> 129/607 (21)  <b>28-day</b> 165/607 (27)  <b>3-month</b> 209/607 (32)  <b>6-month</b> 221/607 (37)	<b>All</b> 183/611 (30) RR 1.10, 95% CI 0.92, 1.31, p=0.32 <b>VAP</b> 88/611 (14) RR 1.08, 95% CI 0.82, 1.43, p=0.59	<b>All</b> 166/607 (27)  <b>VAP</b> 78/607 (13)

**Table 1. Randomized studies evaluating glutamine (PN + EN) in critically ill patients (continued)**

Study	LOS days‡		Ventilator days‡		Other	
	GLN PN+EN	Placebo	GLN PN+EN	Placebo	GLN PN+EN	Placebo
1) Heyland 2013	<b>ICU</b> 14.9 ± 29.1 (611) WMD 1.80, 95% CI -0.76, 4.36, p=0.17 <b>Hospital</b> 31.0 ± 52.6 (611) WMD 1.30, 95% CI -4.05, 6.65, p=0.63	<b>ICU</b> 13.1 ± 14.0 (607)  <b>Hospital</b> 29.7 ± 42.1 (607)	11.6 ± 26.3 (611)	9.8 ± 12.3 (607)		

\* presumed hospital mortality unless otherwise specified

† refers to the # of patients with infections unless specified

‡ LOS and ventilation statistics calculated using all patients who were discharged; for patients who died, death date was substituted for discharge date.